

2017 AASHTO ANNUAL MEETING

Phoenix, Arizona | September 25-28 | Sheraton Grand Phoenix



Special Committee on U. S. Route Numbering

Phoenix, Arizona- Sheraton Grand Phoenix, Paradise Valley

Sunday September 24, 2017 – 5:00 PM -7:00 PM (Mountain Standard/Pacific Daylight Time)

Conference Call- Tel 1-888-585-9008, Conf Room No. 191-374-518

Agenda

-
1. Call to OrderMark Van Port Fleet, MI
 2. Roll Call and introductionsM. Van Port Fleet, MI
 - Region 1 – William Cass, New Hampshire DOT
 - Region 2 – Emanuel Banks, Arkansas SH&TD
 - Region 3 – Mark Van Port Fleet, Michigan DOT (Chair)
 - Region 4 – Joshua Laipply, Colorado DOT
 3. Order of the Day: Ballot Number: USRN-17-02 (action)
 - a. Attachment 1
 4. Discussion Items:
 - a. Submission Process and Guidance
 - i. Attachment 2
 - b. USRN database
 - c. How do we handle conditional approvals
 - d. Application questions
 5. New Business
 6. Next Meeting
 7. Adjourn

AASHTO ANNUAL MEETING 2017 ROUTE NUMBERING APPLICATIONS

BALLOT RESULTS

Item Number and Description	Decision	Comments 1	Comments 2
<p>Item No. 1 – State: Arkansas Route: I-57 Action: Establishment of Interstate Route: Between Interstate 40 in the City of North Little Rock and U.S. Highway 412 in the City of Walnut Ridge. Description: The Arkansas Department of Transportation requests the designation of Future Interstate 57 along existing U.S. Highway 67, from the junction of Interstate 40 in the City of North Little Rock, Arkansas to the junction of U.S. Highway 412 in the City of Walnut Ridge, Arkansas.</p>	TBD	Assuming it is all built to Interstate standard. What is the trigger to change from future to just I-57	When do they anticipate implementing the new route?
<p>Item No. 2 - State: Arkansas Route: U.S. 71 Business Action: Recognition of a Business Route on U.S. Route: Between U.S. Highway 71 south of the City of Waldron and U.S. Highway 71 north of the City of Waldron. Description: The Arkansas Department of Transportation has constructed a new location facility with passing lanes that bypasses the City of Waldron, Arkansas. A separate request has been approved to relocate U.S. Highway 71 to this location. It is requested that U.S. Highway 71, through the City of Waldron, Arkansas, be redesignated as U.S Highway 71 Business.</p>	TBD	Verify the previous approved US 71 relocation	
<p>Item No. 3 - State: Arkansas Route: U.S. 64 Action: Relocation of a U.S. Route: Between U.S. Highway 64 Business north of the City of Crawfordsville and U.S. Highway 64 Business east of the City of Crawfordsville. Description: The Arkansas Department of Transportation has constructed a new location facility that bypasses the City of Crawfordsville, Arkansas. This roadway segment is designated as U.S. Highway 64. This request is to relocate U.S. Highway 64 to this new location. A separate application is being submitted to redesignate the bypassed portion of U.S. Highway 64 as U.S. Highway 64 Business.</p>	Affirmative		
<p>Item No. 4 - State: Arkansas Route: U.S. 64 Business Action: Recognition of a Business Route: Between U.S. Highway 64 north of the City of Crawfordsville and U.S. Highway 64 east of the City of Crawfordsville. Description: The Arkansas Department of Transportation has constructed a new location facility that bypasses the City of Crawfordsville, Arkansas. This roadway segment is designated as U.S. Highway 64. This request is to relocate U.S. Highway 64 to this new location. A separate application is being submitted to</p>	Affirmative		

redesignate the bypassed portion of U.S. Highway 64 as U.S. Highway 64 Business.			
Item No. 5 - State: Arkansas Route: U.S. 64 Action: Relocation of a U.S. Route: Between U.S. Highway 64 Business west of the City of Earle and U.S. Highway 64 Business in eastern Earle. Description: The Arkansas Department of Transportation has constructed a new location facility that bypasses the City of Earle, Arkansas. This roadway segment is designated as U.S. Highway 64. This request is to relocate U.S. Highway 64 to this new location. A separate application is being submitted to redesignate the bypassed portion of U.S. Highway 64 as U.S. Highway 64 Business.	Affirmative		
Item No. 6 - State: Arkansas Route: U.S. 64 Business Action: Recognition of a Business Route: Between U.S. Highway 64 west of the City of Earle and U.S. Highway 64 in eastern Earle. Description: The Arkansas Department of Transportation has constructed a new location facility that bypasses the City of Earle, Arkansas. This roadway segment is designated as U.S. Highway 64. A separate request has been submitted to relocate U.S. Highway 64 to this new location. It is requested that U.S. Highway 64, through the City of Earle, Arkansas, be redesignated as U.S Highway 64 Business.	Affirmative		
Item No. 7 - State: Arkansas Route: U.S. 64 Action: Relocation of a U.S. Route: Between U.S. Highway 64 Business in the City of Patterson and U.S. Highway 64 Business east of the City of McCrory. Description: The Arkansas Department of Transportation has constructed a new location facility that bypasses the Cities of Patterson and McCrory, Arkansas. This roadway segment is designated as U.S. Highway 64. This request is to relocate U.S. Highway 64 to this new location. A separate application is being submitted to redesignate the bypassed portion of U.S. Highway 64 as U.S. Highway 64 Business.	Affirmative		
Item No. 8 - State: Arkansas Route: U.S. 64 Business Action: Relocation of a U.S. Route: Between U.S Highway 64 in the City of Patterson and U.S. Highway 64 east of the City of McCrory. Description: The Arkansas Department of Transportation has constructed a new location facility that bypasses the Cities of Patterson and McCrory, Arkansas. This roadway segment is designated as U.S. Highway 64. A separate request has been submitted to relocate U.S. Highway 64 to this new location. It is requested that U.S. Highway 64, through the Cities of Patterson and McCrory, Arkansas, be redesignated as U.S Highway 64 Business.	Affirmative		

<p>Item No. 9 - State: Arkansas Route: U.S. 64 Action: Relocation of a U.S. Route: Between U.S. Highway 64 Business in western Parkin and U.S. Highway 64 Business in eastern Parkin. Description: The Arkansas Department of Transportation has constructed a new location facility that bypasses the City of Parkin, Arkansas. This roadway segment is designated as U.S. Highway 64. This request is to relocate U.S. Highway 64 to this new location. A separate application is being submitted to redesignate the bypassed portion of U.S. Highway 64 as U.S. Highway 64 Business.</p>	Affirmative		
<p>Item No. 10 - State: Arkansas Route: U.S. 64 Business Action: Recognition of a Business Route: Between U.S. Highway 64 in western Parkin and U.S. Highway 64 in eastern Parkin. Description: The Arkansas Department of Transportation has constructed a new location facility that bypasses the City of Parkin, Arkansas. This roadway segment is designated as U.S. Highway 64. A separate request has been submitted to relocate U.S. Highway 64 to this new location. It is requested that U.S. Highway 64, in the City of Parkin, Arkansas, be redesignated as U.S Highway 64 Business.</p>	Affirmative		
<p>Item No. 11 - State: Arkansas Route: U.S. 64 Action: Relocation: Between U.S. Highway 64 Business in the City of Wynne and U.S. Highway 64 Business east of the City of Wynne. Description: The Arkansas Department of Transportation has constructed a new location facility that bypasses the City of Wynne, Arkansas. This roadway segment is designated as U.S. Highway 64. This request is to relocate U.S. Highway 64 to this new location. A separate application is being submitted to redesignate the bypassed portion of U.S. Highway 64 as U.S. Highway 64 Business.</p>	Affirmative		
<p>Item No. 12 - State: Arkansas Route: U.S. 64 Business. Action: Recognition of a Business Route: Between U.S. Highway 64 in the City of Wynne and U.S. Highway 64 east of the City of Wynne Description: The Arkansas Department of Transportation has constructed a new location facility that bypasses the City of Wynne, Arkansas. This roadway segment is designated as U.S. Highway 64. A separate request has been submitted to relocate U.S. Highway 64 to this new location. It is requested that U.S. Highway 64, through the City of Wynne, Arkansas, be redesignated as U.S Highway 64 Business.</p>	Affirmative		
<p>Item No. 13 - State: Florida Route: U.S. 319 Action: Relocation: Between SR30/US98 and Alaska Way Description: The previous southern terminus of US319 at US98 was a "T" intersection and was not signalized. The realignment of this sections of US319</p>	Affirmative		

<p>to align with Wakulla School Road allows for a four-leg signalized intersection. This new alignment address safety issues on both US319 and US98. The old alignment will become an Active Exclusive/Frontage Road and will be deleted from both the State and Federal roadway system.</p>			
<p>Item No. 14 - State: Kentucky Route: I-165 Action: Relocation: Between Bowling Green and Owensboro. Description: On December 4, 2015 the President of the United States signed into federal law (Pub. L. N. 114-94) Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act. The law included language that designated the William H. Natcher Parkway between Bowling Green, KY and Owensboro, KY as a future Interstate Route 65 Spur. In 2016 Kentucky's General Assembly estimated providing \$66 million through 2022 to upgrade the William H. Natcher Parkway so it can meet the Congressional intent of designating the facility as the 165 Spur.</p>	Affirmative		
<p>Item No. 15 - State: Michigan Route: USBR No. 35 Action: Realignment of an existing U.S. Bicycle Route. Route Connects (existing USBR 35) Sault Ste. Marie/Canada And (Existing USBR35) New Buffalo/Indiana</p>	Affirmative		
<p>Item No. 16 - State: New York Route: I-895 Action: Elimination of I-895 as an Interstate Route: Between I-278 (Bruckner Expwy) and I-95 (Cross Bronx) Description: The existing Sheridan Expwy opened in 1963 and became I-895 in 1970. I-895 was originally intended to extend 5.2 miles north to connect to the New England Thruway but was never built. In the past 20 years, this section of the Bronx has been the site of large public and private investments in retail, commercial and open space. In 2011, New York City received a federal TIGER II grant to study reconnecting the neighborhoods adjacent to I-895 and to improve access to Hunts Point. The TIGER II study recommended that I-895 be converted to an at-grade boulevard with 3 signalized intersections to facilitate pedestrian crossing of the Sheridan. In April 2017, the MPO passed a resolution advocating that I-895 be re-classified as an at-grade Urban Arterial. Pending approval from FHWA to de-designate the interstate, NYSDOT proposes to re-number the highway from I-895 to NY 895. There would be no change to the access points at each end of the Sheridan - vehicles would access I-95 to the north and I-278 to the south just as they do at present. The highway would also remain on the National Network of Qualifying Highways, allowing truck access as it exists now.</p>	TBD	Agree with designation. Does it take other FHWA action to eliminate an interstate?	

<p>Item No. 17 - State: North Carolina Route: I-840 Action: Extension of a U.S. Interstate Route: Between I-73 (Greensboro) and US 220 (Greensboro) Between US 29 (Greensboro) and US 70 (Greensboro)Description: This application is requesting to extend I-840 on new alignment between I-73 and US 220 in northwest Greensboro and between US 29 and US 70 in northeast Greensboro. These sections are newly constructed multi-lane, controlled access facilities located along the Greensboro Outer Loop. The section of freeway between US 29 and US 70 is part of the congressionally designated high priority Greensboro Corridor, I-785, from Greensboro to the North Carolina/Virginia State line. These sections of the Greensboro Loop have previously been approved as Future I-840 by FHWA in August 2011. In addition, a resolution by the Greensboro Urban Area MPO supports the designation of these segments as I-840.</p>	Affirmative With Condition	Need signature or letter from CEO. Letter is signed by State signing and Delineation Engineer.	Is the section B between US 29 and US 70 double banded with I-785?
<p>Item No. 18 - State: Virginia Route: USBR No. 1 Action: Realignment of an existing U.S. Bicycle Route: Route Connects USBR 1 and USBR 1</p>	Affirmative		
<p>Item No. 19 - State: Virginia Route: USBR No. 76 Action: Realignment of an existing U.S. Bicycle Route: Route Connects Willis Church Rd (USBR 76) and Colonial Pkwy (USBR 76)</p>	TBD	A little confusing. I assume existing was on road and propose dis on new parallel separate facility?	
<p>Item No. 20 - State: Washington Route: USBR No. 87 Action: Establishment of a new U.S. Bicycle Route or segment: Route Connects United States-Canadian Border at Sumas and Skagit County Boundary</p>	Affirmative		
<p>Item No. 21 - State: Washington Route: USBR No. 95 Action: Establishment of a new U.S. Bicycle Route or segment: Route Connects Pacific Crossing, US-Canada Border, Blaine, WA and Skagit County Boundary</p>	Affirmative		
<p>Item No. 22 - State: Washington Route: USBR No.97 Action: Establishment of a new U.S. Bicycle Route or segment: Route Connects Peace Arch Crossing, US-Canada Border, Blaine, WA and Port Townsend-Coupeville Ferry</p>	Affirmative		
<p>Item No. 23 - State: West Virginia Route: USBR No.50 Action: Establishment of a new U.S. Bicycle Route or segment: Route Connects Peace Arch Crossing, US-Canada Border, Blaine, WA and Port Townsend-Coupeville Ferry</p>	Affirmative With Condition	Need DOT signature. only letter is from Mayor of City of Weirton	